

PENDING HIGH COURT CASES

Sr. No.	Case No.	Title of the case	Nature of case	Stage of the case	Next date of hearing
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	CWP No. 457/98	Savitri Lal	Reg. Construction not to be allowed around Himrus building circular road Shimla	Reply filed on 11.8.1998.	Will be listed for final hearing
2.	RSA No. 436/98	Tek Chand V/s Jai Nand	Reg. Un-authorized construction	Information AG vide letter dated 16.8.2000 that department does not intend to file application.	-do-
3.	CWP 1858/99	Sh. Ram Lal Vs. M.C.	Regarding Planning Permission	Reply filed on 27.11.99	-do-
4.	CWP 555/99	Sh. K.N. Kashyap Vs. State of H.P.	Regarding Planning Permission	Reply filed by the M.C. has been adopted.	-do-
5.	RSA 114/2000	Sh. Mohinder Kumar Vs. Padam	Reg. Encroachment	The record of the case got burnt in fire during the year 1993.	-do-
6.	RSA 19/2000	Champa Ranta V/s State of H.P.	Regarding Planning Permission	Informed A.G. Vide letter Dated 15-6-2001.	-do-
7.	CWP 654/2000	Smt. Surekha Vs. State of H.P.	Regarding Planning Permission	Reply filed on 25.10.2000.	-do-
8.	CWP 907/2000	Sh. Nika Ram Vs. State of H.P.	Regarding Planning Permission	Reply filed on 28.2.2001	-do-
9.	RSA 590/2000	State VS. Major Karam Jeet Singh	Regarding Planning Permission	Reply filed on 7.11.2000.	-do-

10	RSA 446/200 0	Mehar chand vs. State of H.P.	Regarding Planning Permission	Reply of MC Shimla has been adopted as the area falls within the jurisdiction of MC Shimla.	-do-
11	CWP 1291/01	Sh. Jag Jiwan Ram V/s State of H.P.	Reg. Planning Permission case	As area falls in M.C. Shimla and record transferred to M.C. Shimla therefore A.G. intimated vide letter dated 8.10.2002.	-do-
12	C.R. 110/01	Smt. Piaro Devi V/s State of H.P.	Reg. NOC for electricity connection	Reply filed on 8-1- 2002.	-do-
13	Civil Revision No. 68/01	Arun Kumar V/s Pritam Chand	Restraining construction of house in Sanjauli by Defendant and orders passed by District Judge, Shimla dated 1.11.2000 assailed	A.G. requested to defend Respondent No. 3	-do-
14	CWP 1299/01	Y.V. Mehra V/s State	Illegal Construction in Dalhousie	As desired the comments on representation of Y.V. Mehra sent to A.G. vide letter dated 25-9-04.	-do-
15	CWP 998/01	Sh. Narain Singh V/s State of H.P.	Core Area case.	Reply filed on 18.12.02.	-do-
16	Regular First Appeal 231/01	Mrs. Rani Padam Jeet Singh V/s State of H.P.	Core Area Case	A.G. requested vide letter dated 22.11.01 to defend the Department .	-do-
17	Civil Revision	Sh. Amrik Singh V/s	Appeal against the orders of District	A.G. requested vide letter 24.2.2003 to	No action is required

	460.02	Balak Ram.	Judge Shimla dated 18.9.02 whereby orders of Court below partially modified.	Defend the Director, Town and Country Planning Department as Performa respondent No.2.	
18	Civil Suit 45/02	Sh. Bharam Vasudeva V/s State	Suit for recovery dispute is between private parties.	Reply filed on 9.10.2000 Case was last time listed on 7.12.2005.	-do-
19	CWP 1135/02	Sh. Surat Ram V/s Firm Seith and Company	Suit for recovery dispute is between private parties.	Reply filed on 10-4-03.	-do-
20	CWP 625/03	Sh. H.S. Khochhar V/s State	Regarding Construction of Hotel Willow Bank	Affidavit filed on 31.8.06.	-do-
21	CWP 956/03	Smt. Trisha Sharma V/s State	Heritage Zone case	Personal Compliance affidavit regarding fixing of plaques on the heritage buildings stands filed .	-do-
22	CWP 1056/03	Sh. Amar Jeet Singh V/s State	Retention Policy	Reply filed on 12.2.04.	-do-
23	C.S. 5/03	Sh. Surat Ram V/s State	Dispute in Land	Reply filed on 10.4.03	-do-
24	CWP 603/03	Kailash Chand	Construction of SADA Chhaproh (Una)	Compliance Affidavit filed on 17.6.2006	-do-
25	CWP 583/03	Safai Karamchari Andolan	Manual scavenging in the Department	Compliance report sent to AG vide letter dated 15.12.2005	-do-
26	CWP	Gopal	Construction of	As per order of the	-do-

	897/04	Mohan Aggarwal/Vijay Aggarwal Vs. State	Tashkand Hotel	Hon'ble High Court dated 12.05.2009 some short comings were pointed out in the constitution of Heritage Advisory Committee which was removed by constituting and reconstituting a Heritage Advisory Committee	
27	CWP 318/04	Sh. V.K. Gupta Vs. State of H.P.	Reg. sub division of plot of Jiwnoo Colony.	Reply filed on 2.8.2004.	-do-
28	CWP 511/04	Smt. Uma Devi Vs. State of H.P.	Regarding Planning Permission case.	Reply filed on 16..6.2006	-do-
29	CWP 554/05	Sh. R.L. jain and others vs. State	Regarding unauthorized construction	Reply filed on 19.9.2005	-do-
30	CWP No. 654/05	Shashi Pandit Vs. State of H.p.	Reg. Unauthorized construction	Parawise comments stands sent to the Secretary (TCP) on 11.8.2005 in this case reply of F.D/other respondents is to be adopted order issued to DC Solan on 28.12.2006	-do-
31	R.F.A No. 143/05	Sh. Sanchar Chand	Regarding Planning Permission Case	Informed aG vide letter dated 27.7.2005 to defend case.	-do-
32	CWP 359/05	Sh. Vinod Gandhotra V/s State	Reg. Planning Permission	Reply filed on 13.6.05	-do-
33	RFA	Nirmal Singh	Misc.	Appeal is at Final	-do-

	235/05 and 243/05	V/s Tibetan Children		Stage.	
34	CWP No. 1154/05	Aditya Paul Vs. State of H.P.	Green belt case Lakkar Bazaar	Reply filed on 8.12.2005 and vide order dated 14.08.2008 State was directed to issue fresh notification whereby status position of the plot and land of petitioner may be clarified within 6 weeks. Intimated to the branch concerned vide letter dated 20.08.2008, 26.09.2008 , 20.05.2009, 28.07.2009 and 21.08.2009	-do-
35	PIL 7/2005	K.K. Gupta Vs. State of H.P.	Regarding action on unauthorized construction	Reply filed on 21.11.2005	-do-
36	C.R. No. 65/05 and 98/05	Tek Chand Vs. State	Dispute of use of water in Rajhana SADA Shoghi	Reply needs not to be filed because the dispute is between two parties.	-do-
37	CWP No.1158 /05	Raj Chauhan VS. State of H.P. & others	Regarding Planning Permission case	Reply filed on 27.12.2005	-do-
38	CWP No. 1370/05	Sheela Malhotra	Regarding Planning Permission case	Informed that Sur- rejoinder to be filed vide letter dated 29.1.2008.	-do-
39	CWP	Sohan Singh	Regarding Planning	Reply filed on	Will be listed

	No. 1357/05		Permission case	1.3.2006	for final hearing
40	CWP 690/06	Pankaj Goal Vs. State	Construction of commercial building at Taradevi	Reply filed on 28.9.2006	-do-
41	CWP No. 37/2006	Rajeev Verma Vs. State	Regarding registration Architect etc.	Reply filed on 7.3.2006 and 18.7.2006. report of committee stands filed in the Court .	-do-
42	CWP No. 68/2006	R.P. Mogta	Reg. pay Scale	Reply filed on 13.4.2006	-do-
43	CWP 324/06	Brahma nand VS. State	Regarding Planning Permission case	Reply filed on 21.6.2006	-do-
44	CWP 302/06	R.S.Thakur	Reg. Encroachment	Reply filed on 17.5.2006	-do-
45	CWP 464/06	Druv Singh jamwal Vs. State	Reg. Revenue correction	Reply filed on 11.7.2006.	-do-
46	CWP 557/06	Yaswant Singh Vs. State	Regarding providing path as per approved map in Malyana	Intimated AG vide letter dated 19.9.2006 to adopt the reply of Special Area Development Authority, Kufri.	-do-
47	CWP 738/06	Samrat Nigam	Regarding change of landuse	Reply of amendment petition filed on 08.10.2007.	-do-
48	CWP 13/06	Court of its own motion	Regarding Road condition	Sent letter to member Secretary Pollution Board on 31.1.2007.	-do-
49	CWP 1278/06	The Baddi- Barotiwala and Nalagarh	Reg. Dev. Plan of Baddi	Comments stands sent to Secy (TCP) vide letter dated 3.1.2007 reply to be	-do-

			filed by Secy (Revenue)		
50	CWP 740/06	Sanjeev Jain Vs. State of H.P.	Regarding unauthorized construction	Hon'ble Court passed order on 21.3.2007. Further ATP Kullu was directed vide letter dated 24.4.2007 to comply the order.	-do-
51	C.W.P. No. 654/06	Surekha V/s State	Regarding construction in Mauza Bagog of M.C. Area Shimla	Informed A.G. Vide letter dated 4-6-2008 that case pertains to M.C., Shimla.	-do-
52	CWP 374/07	Manmohan Singh	Reg. Dev. Plan Baddi Nalagarh	Reply is of Deputy Commissioner Solan adopted vide letter dated 15.1.2008.	-do-
53	CWP 59/07	Upper Bakrota resident welfare association	Regarding construction in Chamba town	Reply filed by SDO(civil) Dalhousie	-do-
54	1059/07	Vikramjit Singh	Regarding construction of court complex Dharamshala	Reply filed on 27.8.2007 SLP has been filed by Home Deptt. In Supreme Court.	-do-
55	CWP No. 1191/07	Virender Kumar Vs. State	The case pertains to SADA Kinnaur	The case pertains to SADA Kunnaur. The department is not party in this case.	Will be listed for final hearing

56	CWP(PI L) 6/2007	Manish Kumar VS. State	Regarding nuisance of official vehicles	Comments to the CWP stands sent vide letter dated 31.8.2007	-do-
57	CWP 2280/07	Kaushalya Devi Vs. State of H.P.	Complaint against approval of plans. Revolving Restaurant by respondent No.7 i.e. Smt. Sonia Singh. This area now falls in limits of M.C. Shimla	Reply filed on 1.4.2008	-do-
58	R.S.A. No. 452/07 and R.S.A. No. 459/07	Surekha Vs. State	Planning Permission case of Barog falls in M.C. Shimla entire record to the case has been gutted I n fire on 28.6.1993	Intimated AG vide letter dated 26-7-08 to adopt reply of MC	-do-
59	C.W.P. No. 2289/07	Brijender Singh Parmar V/s State of H.P.	Regarding Unauthorized Construction in Mandi Town	Reply is to be filed by UD Deptt /E.O.Mandi	-do-
60	CWP 823/08	Gian Chand Vs. State	Regarding Sub-division of plot at Phagli	Reply is to be filed within two-three days .	-do-
61	RSA 154/08	Hira lal Vs. Ram Krishan	Regarding un- authorised construction mauza Chamiana	Intimated AG vide letter dated 16.7.2008.	-do-
62	CWP 1006/08	Surinder Singh	Construction of Bright land hotel, Shimla.	Reply filed on 30.8.2008	-do-
63	LPA 96/2008	Amarbir pasricha Vs. State	C/o house at Retreat (Mashobra)	Reply stand filed on 11-11-08.	-do-
64	LPA 97/08	Devinder Jit Singh	Construction of house in Retreat	-Do-	-do-

		Mashobra		
65	CWP 1510/08	Ravinder Singh Premi	Reg. Fixation of pay	Reply Filed. -do-
66	CWP 1558/08	Bhopal Singh	C/o unauthorized construction in Dhalpur Kullu	Reply stand filed in the month of September 2008. Will be listed for final hearing
67	CWP No.2047 /08	Subash Plaha V/s State	C/o House in Dalhousie Town- Change of Land use from Residential to Guest House (Hotel)	Reply filed on 7.1.2009 -do-
68	CWP 225/09	Gulaba Ram	Reg. c/o Hanuman Mandir Complex in Jakhu Shimla	Intimated AG vide letter dated 28.2.2009. -do-
69	R.S.A. No. 154/08	Hira Lal V/s State of H.P.	Unauthorized construction in Malayana	Intimated A.G. Vide letter dated 6- 1-2009. -do-
70.	CWP No. 1242/09	Anju Bala	c/o Hotel Chamba- NOC thereof	Reply filed -do-
71.	CWP No.1489 /09	Jagan Nath Bhorwalia	c/o residential building at Kaliwari Shimla	Reply filed. -do-
72.	CWP No. __T 6490 of 08	NGO Employee Association	Regarding filling up vacant post of TCP,ATP and P.O.	An application for early hearing/vacation of stay has been filed in the Hon'ble High Court on 23.3.2009 Case lastly listed in the Court on 07.12.2009 will be listed shortly for final hearing.
73.	CWP NO.1937 /09	Parma Nand Klanta v/s state of h.p. and others.	Aseem Trader Bye pass road Panthaghati be restrained from running shop as the site in question falls	This case pertains to M.C., Shimla. Intimated A.G. vide letter dated 29.08.2009 that area falls under the

			in residential landuse as per IDP Shimla. The area falls in M.C. limits.		jurisdiction of M.C., Shimla.
74.	CWP No. 3014	Gayatri Industries V/S State of H.P.	Case pertains to BBNDA	Intimated BBNDA vide letter dated 18.09.2009	
75.	CWP NO. 3298/09	Raj Kumar V/S State of H.P.	Farming of R & P Rules of SADA R/Peo employee.	Reply is to be filed by the SDM, R/Peo	-
76.	CWP No. 3447/09	Bishan Dass V/S State	Regarding construction of House in Shangti Shimla and taking action against the defaulters who have raised construction in violation of TCP norms.	Reply filed by Chairman, SADA as case pertains to SADA, Ghanahatti.	-
77.	CWPIL No. 4/09	Court on its own motion V/S State	Regarding Traffic control in Shimla	Affidavit is to be filed by D.C., Shimla	
78.	CWP-T 8232/08 in O.A. No. 245/02	Gita Ram V/S State of H.P.	Regarding promotion for the post of Supdt. Gr.-I	Reply filed on 11.04.2002	Will be listed for final hearing
79.	CWP-T in O.A. No. 208/04	Rakesh Dogra V/S State	Regarding promotion for the post of Supdt. Gr.-II	Reply filed on 23.03.2004	-do-
80.	CWP No. 4218/09	Surya Prakash V/S State	Regarding withdrawl of NOC by the P.O., Bilaspur and E.O., M.C., Bilaspur.	Reply filed on 20-2-2010	-

81 .	CWPIL No. 47/09	Court on its own motion	Construction of cottage in Cemetry, Shimla	Case pertains to M.C., Shimla. Intimated vide letter dated 14.01.2010	-
82.	RSA No. 615/09 (Regular Second Appera)	Tula Ram V/S Onkar Chand	R.S.A. against the order passed by Distt. Judge Forest) vide order dated 30.09.2009.	-	Listed on 12.03.2010 for appearance
83.	CWP No. 300/06	Neelam Sharma Vs. State	Regarding encroachment on the Mall road & Lower Bazar Shimla	Reply filed on 22.82009	
84.	CWP No. 48/03	Baldev Sharma Vs. State	Composition of offence	Reply filed on 13.2.2004	
85.	CWP No. 1642/02	Prem Lata Vs. State	Regarding Planning Permission case at Talbot Cottage, The Mall, Shimla.	Reply filed on 18-12-2002 and dt 11-5-2005. In this case SLP filed by M.C. Shimla in the Hon'ble Supreme Court Challenging the order dated 16-8-2005.	
86.	CWP No. 543/03	Trisha Sharma Vs. State of H.P.	Regarding installation of Dumper for garbage within M.C. Limits.	Informed A.G. vide letter dated 6-2-2009. Reply is to be filed by the D.C. Shimla	
87.	LPA No 62/08	Dr. Shashi Pal Sood Vs. State	Appeal against the Single Judge judgment dated 9-5-2008. Planning Permission case of M.C. Limits i.e. Lower Bazar.	Reply filed	

88	RSA No. 452/07	Sudama Ram Vs. State	Dispute is between two parties	Informed A.G. vide letter dated 21-11- 2007.	
----	-------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------------	--	--

STATUS OF PENDING COURT CASES

Sr. No	Name of court	No. of cases	Reply filed	Reply to be filed	Pending cases
1.			2		3
2	Lower court cases	52	52	-	52
	Total	146	144	2	146

Detail of pending high court cases in respect of this department as on 16-7-09.

Sr. No.	Name of Court	No. of case	No. of cases in which reply filed	No. of cases in which reply is yet to be filed	Present status/reason for non-filing of reply
1.	High Court	91 Nos.	89 Nos .	2 Nos	Reply is two No. of cases is under process.